

Compilers

Symbol Tables

Symbol Tables

- Much of semantic analysis can be expressed as a recursive descent of an AST
  - *Before*: Process an AST node *n*
  - *Recurse*: Process the children of *n*
  - *After*: Finish processing the AST node *n*
- When performing semantic analysis on a portion of the the AST, we need to know which identifiers are defined

Symbol Tables

- Example: the scope of let bindings is one subtree of the AST:

$$\text{let x: Int} \leftarrow 0 \text{ in e}$$

- x is defined in subtree e

Symbol Tables

- Recall: let x: Int ← 0 in e
- Idea:
  - *Before* processing e, add definition of x to current definitions, overriding any other definition of x
  - *Recurse*
  - *After* processing e, remove definition of x and restore old definition of x

- A *symbol table* is a data structure that tracks the current bindings of identifiers

Symbol Tables

- For a simple symbol table we can use a stack

- Operations
  - add_symbol(x) push x and associated info, such as x's type, on the stack
  - find_symbol(x) search stack, starting from top, for x. Return first x found or NULL if none found
  - remove_symbol() pop the stack

Symbol Tables

- The simple symbol table works for let
  - Symbols added one at a time
  - Declarations are perfectly nested

Symbol Tables

- enter_scope() start a new nested scope
- find_symbol(x) finds current x (or null)
- add_symbol(x) add a symbol x to the table
- check_scope(x) true if x defined in current scope
- exit_scope() exit current scope

A symbol table manager is supplied with the project.

Symbol Tables

- Class names can be used before being defined
- We can't check class names
  - using a symbol table
  - or even in one pass
- Solution
  - Pass 1: Gather all class names
  - Pass 2: Do the checking
- Semantic analysis requires multiple passes
  - Probably more than two